# Satellite-Based Quantification of Contrail Radiative Forcing over Europe

Irene Ortiz<sup>1</sup>, Ermioni Dimitropoulou<sup>2</sup>, Pierre de Buyl<sup>2</sup>, Nicolas Clerbaux<sup>2</sup>, Javier García-Heras<sup>1</sup>, Amin Jafarimoghaddam<sup>1</sup>, Hugues Brenot<sup>3</sup>, Jeroen van Gent<sup>3</sup>, Klaus Sievers<sup>4</sup>, Evelyn Otero<sup>5</sup>, Parthiban

Loganathan⁵, Manuel Soler

<sup>1</sup> University Carlos III of Madrid
<sup>2</sup> Royal Meteorological Institute of Belgium
<sup>3</sup> Royal Belgian Institute of Space Aeronomy
<sup>4</sup> Klaus Sievers Services
<sup>5</sup>KTH Royal Institute of Technology





SESAR Innovation Days 2024

12 - 15 November 2024. Rome



### Non-C02 Aviation Effects

Condensation trails (Contrails) trails form when water vapor emitted by aircraft engines freezes producing a visible trail behind the aircraft





## Non-C02 Aviation Effects

Contrail radiative effects lead to alterations in the temperature of the atmosphere

### Earth radiates heat back Some of the sun's energy gets out into space reflected away from the earth by contrail-induced cirrus clouds ...but the contrail-induced cirrus ...but most of the sun's energy clouds absorb some of this heat passes through, warming the Earth. and act like a blanket around the Earth.

#### Global Aviation Effective Radiative Forcing (ERF) Terms ERF ERF RF levels (1940 to 2018) (mW m<sup>-2</sup>) (mW m<sup>-2</sup>) Contrail cirrus 57.4 (17, 98) 11.4 (33, 189) 0.42 Low in high-humidity regions Carbon dioxide (CO2 34.3 (28, 40) 34.3 (31, 38) 1.0 High emissions Nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions 36.0 (23, 56) 1.37 Med Short-term ozone increase 49.3 (32, 76) Long-term ozone decrease 10.6 (-20, -7. -9.0 (-17, -6.3) 1.18 Lov -17.9 (-34, -13) Methane decrease -21.2 (-40, -15 1.18 Med Stratospheric water vanor decreas -3.2 (-6.0, -2.2 -2.7 (-5.0, -1.9) 1 18 Lov Net for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions 17.5 (0.6, 29 8.2 (-4.8, 16) Low Water vapor emissions in 2.0 (0.8, 3.2) 2.0 (0.8, 3.2) [1] Med. the stratosphere Aerosol-radiation interactions -from soot emission 0.94 (0.1, 4.0) 0.94 (0.1, 4.0) [1] Low Best estimates from sulfur emission 5 - 95% confidence -7.4 (-19, -2.6) -7.4 (-19, -2.6) [1] Low Aerosol-cloud interactions -from sulfur emission No best No best Verv -from soot emissions octimates estimates low Net aviation (Non-CO<sub>2</sub> terms) 66.6 (21, 111 14.8 (35, 194 Net aviation (All terms) 00.9 (55, 145 149.1 (70, 229 -50 0 50 100 150 Effective Radiative Forcing (mW m<sup>-2</sup>)

Lee et at., 2021

Precisely quantifying these radiative effects is essential for understanding the climate impacts of aviation.



### How do we quantify Contrail Impacts?

**Contrail Detection** 

#### Radiative Forcing Calculation









### 1. Contrail Detection

- 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations
- 3. Experiment Definition
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Next Steps



### 1. Contrail Detection

- 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations
- 3. Experiment Definition
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Next Steps

Contrail Detection using AI

State of the Art on Contrail Detection

**Before 2021** 



Image Processing Algorithms and first Neural Networks *Mannstein et at., 2012* 



<u>2021 - 2023</u>





More advanced Networks but still not enough labelled data *McCloskey. et al, 2021* 

Allows for larger - scale analysis

Strongly depends on data availability

<u>2023 & 2024</u>



Advanced Networks and a large labelled dataset available Ng. et al, 2023

**Open Problems:** 

- European Data
- Performance Metrics
- Instance Segmentation
- Associated **K**

Method: Automize the detection of contrails over large amounts of data using Neural Networks





GOES-16 Ash RGB images obtained from the OpenContrails Google Dataset (Ng. et al, 2023)





*Still lacks a labelled dataset in Europe* 



### Models Trained:

- Instance segmentation (MaskRCNN & YOLO11x)



Semantic segmentation (CoaT & NeXtViT)





### Models Trained:

- Instance segmentation (MaskRCNN & YOLO11x)



Semantic segmentation (CoaT & NeXtViT)





#### Detections on SEVIRI (Meteosat Second Generation):

- Interpolation to 2km resolution (preprocessing)
- Sliding window detections
- Polygon conversion (postprocessing)



Visual example of performance on MSG: Contrails Detected on the 25<sup>th</sup> of September of 2023 from 00:00 to 10:00 over France and neighbouring regions









### 1. Contrail Detection

- 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations
- 3. Experiment Definition
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Next Steps

# 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations

**Cloud Property Retrievals** Cloud Optical Thickness, Cloud Phase, Cloud Effective Radius & Cloud Top Pressure retrieved with Optimal Cloud Analysis (OCA)

#### Calculation of RF using libRadtran Radiative Transfer Model



Ice

Liquid

#### Category no.1/ Ice cloud above ocean surface Solar Thermal Wavelength range 250 nm - 5000 nm 2500 nm - 98000 nm Molecular absorption param. (default) Fine (except LUT3, which is medium) Atmospheric profile U.S. Standard U.S. Standard Altitude TOA and BOA TOA and BOA # of streams 16 16 Sun geometry SZA= 0° to 80° [step 5°] Total: 17 values Ice cloud properties Yang et al., 2013 Yang et al., 2013 Ice crystal shapes Moderately rough aggregates of 8-element Moderately rough aggregates of 8-element columns columns Multi-layered Ice cloud optical thickness 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 3, 0, 4, 0, 5, 0, 7, 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 30 0. 0.1. 0.2. 0.3. 0.4. 0.5. 0.7. 1. 2. 3. 5. 10. 30 Total: 13 values Total: 13 values Ice cloud effective radius 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60, 80 µm 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60, 80 µm Total: 7 values Total: 7 values Ice cloud height 6 to 13 km [step 1km] 10 km Total: 1 value Total: 8 values Look Up Tables CAT1 Cox and Munch BRDF Sea Surface Temperature (SST) 273 to 303 K [step 5 K] Wind speed = 5 m/sTotal: 7 values Cloud-free/ off-disk # of simulations 1.547 5.096



Co-funded by the European Union

# 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations

### Calculation of solar and thermal fluxes

### Parameter interpolation over the LUTs

Total Cloud Radiative Impact at 00:00 UTC on the 23rd Jan 2023





# 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations

#### Total Cloud RF estimations



the European Unio

Universidad Carlos III

de Madrid

#### Contrails detected using AI



#### Final Contrail RF estimations





### 1. Contrail Detection

2. Radiative Forcing Calculations

### 3. Experiment Definition

- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Next Steps

## **3. Experiment Definition**

### Time period

Jan 24th-30th	Feb	March	April		Jan 24th-30th-	Feb	March	April	
May	June	July	March	02	May	June	July	March	02
Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	ω	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	

#### **Data Source**

GOES-W / ABI

[12.3]rad - [10.3]rad

[11.2]rad - [8.4]rad

MSG-11 / SEVIRI

[12.0]rad - [10.8]rad

[10.8]rad - [8.7]rad

MSG-8 / SEVIRI

[12.0]<sup>rad</sup> - [10.8]<sup>rad</sup>

[10.8]rad - [8.7]rad

HIMAWARI-8 / AH

[12.4]<sup>rad</sup> - [10.4]<sup>rad</sup>

[10.4]rad - [8.6]rad

#### MSG (SEVIRI) Spectral Bands: 8IR & 3VIS

GOES-E / ABI

[12.3]rad - [10.3]rad

[11.2]rad - [8.4]rad

Colour (ash/dust RGB)

RED lin. combo. [channel in µm]

lin, combo, [ch, in um]

### **Geographical extent**











### 1. Contrail Detection

- 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations
- 3. Experiment Definition
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Next Steps

# 4. Results

#### Diurnal Patterns

In January, daytime contrails show cumulative CRF values at minimums of -8 TW, while nighttime contrails show positive values and up to 6 TW.

The overall daily impact of the detected contrails is warming due to a larger amount of nighttime contrails, which make up 62% of the total.





# 4. Results



#### The comparison between 2023 and 2024 Data shows:

- A **41.08% rise in contrail coverage** between the two weeks evaluated
- A **128.7%** increase in CRF values, suggesting an increased warming from the additional number of contrails
- A 19.02% increase in total cloud RF, in which CRF only represents ~1%.





- 1. Contrail Detection
- 1. Radiative Forcing Calculations
- 1. Experiment Definition
- 1. Results
- 1. Conclusions
- 1. Next Steps

# 5. Conclusions

### Main takeaways:

The methods presented here enable comprehensive large-scale analysis

Further research into the observed trends and over larger time periods is needed

### **Preliminary Results:**

The detected contrails show an overall warming effect throughout the day

*Potential increase* in contrail cover and warming from 2023 to 2024

The increase in contrail radiative forcing only represents a 1% of the total





### 1. Contrail Detection

- 2. Radiative Forcing Calculations
- 3. Experiment Definition
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Next Steps

# 6. Next Steps

**1. Validate Detections** Use a set of labeled data for validating of the model.



### Validation of the Contrails Detected using AI

### Validation using ADS-B and weather data



### 07:15 07:00 07:30 07:45 08:00 08:15 08:30 08:45 09:00

Validation with Ground Camera images



# 6. Next Steps

#### 2. Validate RF Calculations

Quantify the errors in the LW and SW RF calculated values.

#### 4. Move from MSG to MTG Data

Take advantage of the higher spatiotemporal resolution of the new FCI onboard MTG Satellites.

**1. Validate Detections** Use a set of labeled data for validating of the model.

### 3. Perform an extended experiment

Repeat the study over an extended time period to account for seasonal variations and incorporate additional data.





### Move from MSG to MTG Data

### First MTG FCI Images available in 2024





### **Contrails Detected on MTG with AI**

Results shown for the 10th of October 2024 over the whole field of view of MTG satellites



# 6. Next Steps

#### 2. Validate RF Calculations

Quantify the errors in the LW and SW RF calculated values.

#### 4. Move from MSG to MTG Data

Take advantage of the higher spatiotemporal resolution of the new FCI onboard MTG Satellites.

**1. Validate Detections** Use a set of labeled data for validating of the model.

### 3. Perform an extended experiment

Repeat the study over an extended time period to account for seasonal variations and incorporate additional data.

### 4. Share the RF LUTs and Trained Models

Make available to the community the use of these methods for assessing contrail climate impacts



# Thank you for your attention

### **Contact information**:

<u>irortiza@ing.uc3m.es</u> www.linkedin.com/in/irene-ortiz-abuja

